UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,072	07/21/2006	Hisao Tatsumi	007324-0356017	6957	
	7590 01/28/201 VINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500			CORMIER, DAVID G		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,072	TATSUMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID CORMIER	1792	

[DAVID CORMIER	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	ideration and/or search (see NOT); r form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	. See attached Notice of Non-Con wable if submitted in a separate, t	mpliant Amendment (F imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4 and 6-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but on the structure of the apparatus has been amended to at least the rotating tub, necessitating further search and/or consideration.	ast further define the orientation of leration.		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P13. ☐ Other:	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792			